J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34 (2001) 5215-5235

PII: S0305-4470(01)21357-2

# Autocatalytic reactions in systems with hyperbolic mixing: exact results for the active Baker map

# Z Toroczkai<sup>1</sup>, G Károlyi<sup>2</sup>, Á Péntek<sup>3</sup> and T Tél<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Theoretical Division and Center for Nonlinear Studies, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA

<sup>2</sup> Department of Structural Mechanics, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, Műegyetem rkp. 3, H-1521 Budapest, Hungary

<sup>3</sup> Marine Physical Laboratory, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093-0238, USA

<sup>4</sup> Institute for Theoretical Physics, Eötvös University, PO Box 32, H-1518 Budapest, Hungary

E-mail: toro@lanl.gov, karolyi@tas.me.bme.hu, apentek@ucsd.edu and tel@poe.elte.hu

Received 24 January 2001 Published 15 June 2001 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/34/5215

### Abstract

We investigate the effects of hyperbolic hydrodynamical mixing on the reaction kinetics of autocatalytic systems. Exact results are derived for the twodimensional open Baker map as an underlying mixing dynamics for a twocomponent autocatalytic system,  $A+B \rightarrow 2B$ . We prove that chaotic advection modelled by the Baker map enhances the productivity of the reaction which is due to the fact that the reaction kinetics is catalysed by the fractal unstable manifold of the chaotic set of the reaction-free dynamics. The results are compared with phenomenological theories of active advection.

PACS numbers: 0545, 4752, 8220

#### 1. Introduction

The advection of chemically or biologically active particles in imperfectly mixing chaotic hydrodynamical flows received considerable recent interest [1–9]. By chaotic flow we understand a smoothly time-dependent, in the simplest case periodic, flow in which the advection dynamics of tracers is chaotic. These tracers undergo chemical or biological interactions while being advected by incompressible flows. Up to now, mainly two-dimensional flows have been considered, not only because of their simplicity, but also because such flows govern large-scale environmental phenomena in which chemical or biological activity (such as the ozone reaction in the stratosphere or plankton dynamics in the upper level of oceans) is of primary importance (see [8] and the last two items of [1]). The distribution of the products along a filamental fractal seems to be a general feature of such reactions, a feature which is also expected to hold along planar sections of general three-dimensional flows.



**Figure 1.** Schematic drawing of the emptying and fattening process surrounding a single filament. A line segment of length L (a) is covered by material B (b). The width of this stripe is first shrunk (c) due to outflow, then is fattened by a sudden reaction (d).

Here we consider tracers as point-like particles which are assumed not to have any influence on the fluid flow itself. The reaction model is of kinetic type where the reactions take place upon particle–particle 'collisions': when particles of type A and B are closer to each other than a *reaction range*  $\sigma$ , then particle A changes to B according to the autocatalytic scheme  $A + B \rightarrow 2B$ . The reaction model is discrete in time, i.e. a reaction can only take place at integer multiples of a *reaction time*  $\tau$ . In intervals between successive reactions the particles are passively advected by the flow. The passive advection dynamics has two essential parameters that influence the reaction kinetics of the process: a decay or contraction parameter  $\lambda$  and the fractal dimension  $D_0$  of the set around which products accumulate. In open flows this set turns out to be the unstable manifold of a nonescaping chaotic set characterizing the passive advection [2, 3], and the role of the contraction parameter is played by the average negative Lyapunov exponent of the Lagrangian dynamics which expresses the convergence towards the chaotic set. Due to incompressibility, the positive and negative Lyapunov exponents have the same absolute value. Particles are advected away from any neighbourhood of the chaotic set along the unstable manifold which leads to an exponential decay of the passive particles with an escape rate  $\kappa$ . This is, however, not a new dynamical parameter since it is related to the previous ones via  $D_0 \approx 2 - \kappa/\lambda$  [10, 11]. Here we concentrate on *slow* reactions whose reaction velocity  $v_{\rm r} = \sigma/\tau$  is much slower than the characteristic velocity of the passive advection dynamics. In this section we present a heuristic argument for the total area of the product B as a function of time [3,6]. Since the basic ingredients of the argument are the existence of a fractal backbone and the contracting dynamics acting towards the backbone, we do not need to actually specify the two-dimensional hydrodynamical flow, just to postulate the fractal backbone and the contraction property. We consider the simplest filamental fractal with dimension  $1 < D_0 < 2$  which is the direct product of a Cantor set of dimension  $(D_0 - 1)$ and a line segment of length L. In addition, a contraction of rate  $\lambda$  is postulated towards the fractal filaments, see figure 1. This simple theory can also be considered to hold for reactions taking place along spatially fixed fractal catalysts when there is transport of products, resulting in an effective contraction. At time n, right after a reaction takes place, filaments are assumed to be covered by material B in stripes of average width  $\varepsilon^{(n)}$ . The complement of these strips is assumed to be covered by material A everywhere. During the next period of length  $\tau$  no reaction takes place, only contraction, which reduces the widths  $\varepsilon^{(n)}$  by a factor of exp  $(-\lambda \tau)$ . The effect of the reaction is simply an 'infection' by B particles of the A neighbours in circles

of radii  $\sigma$  which, in the case of a filamental geometry, leads to the broadening of the stripe width by  $g\sigma$ , g = 2. Thus we obtain a recursion relation expressing the stripe widths  $\varepsilon^{(n+1)}$  right after the (n + 1)st reaction in terms of  $\varepsilon^{(n)}$ , right after the *n*th reaction:

$$\varepsilon^{(n+1)} = e^{-\lambda\tau} \varepsilon^{(n)} + g\sigma. \tag{1}$$

This simple recursive dynamics possesses a single fixed point attractor

$$\varepsilon^* = \frac{g\sigma}{1 - e^{-\lambda\tau}} \tag{2}$$

which is reached for  $n \to \infty$ . This shows that the product distribution reaches saturation: an active steady state sets in after some time in which the activity exactly cancels the material loss due to contraction. Although there are infinitely many filaments in the fractal backbone, if they are covered with stripes of non-zero width, the number of such covered filaments becomes finite due to the overlaps among them. It follows from the properties of fractals that the number  $N(\epsilon)$  of filaments covered by width  $\epsilon$  is

$$N(\epsilon) \sim \left(\frac{\epsilon}{L}\right)^{-(D_0-1)}$$
. (3)

The total area A of these covered filaments is then

$$\mathcal{A}(\epsilon) = \epsilon L N(\epsilon) \sim \epsilon^{2-D_0} L^{D_0}.$$
(4)

Next we apply this result with  $\epsilon = \varepsilon^{(n)}$  at time *n* and with  $\epsilon = \varepsilon^{(n+1)}$  at time *n* + 1. Note that we are then working on the edge of the validity of fractal scaling since below the scale of  $\varepsilon^{(n)}$  or  $\varepsilon^{(n+1)}$  the product distribution is two dimensional. The consequences of the crudeness of this approximation will be analysed later, in view of the exact results obtained for the Baker map. Using (4), one finds a recursion for the area  $\mathcal{A}_B$  of *B* particles. It follows from (1) that

$$\mathcal{A}_{B}^{(n+1)} = \left\{ e^{-\lambda\tau} \left[ \mathcal{A}_{B}^{(n)} \right]^{1/(2-D_{0})} + g\sigma L^{\frac{D_{0}}{2-D_{0}}} \right\}^{2-D_{0}}.$$
(5)

In the case of exactly parallel filaments the factor g is identically g = 2 before the stripes start to overlap. After overlap takes place, which is unavoidable on sufficiently fine scales, the value of g may, however, differ from 2. In this approach, we assume that the overlap effects can be taken care of by introducing an *average* geometrical factor g, which is *independent* of the reaction parameters  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ . If the proportionality factor in (4), which is the Hausdorff measure of the fractal set, is different from 1, it may also be incorporated in the geometrical factor g. The form of recursion (5) is a lot more complicated than recursion (1) for the stripe widths due to the fractal character of the backbone. It shows that the 'microscopic' width dynamics and the 'macroscopic' area dynamics are qualitatively different since the latter is nonlinear. Nevertheless, (5) possesses a fixed point

$$\mathcal{A}_B^* = \left(\frac{g\sigma/L}{1 - e^{-\lambda\tau}}\right)^{2-D_0} L^2 \tag{6}$$

which is the only attractor of the chemical dynamics. It is worth taking a continuum limit in which the reaction time  $\tau$  and distance  $\sigma$  both go to zero, while the reaction velocity  $v_r \equiv \sigma/\tau$  remains finite. In this limit a differential equation is obtained for the *B* area  $A_B(t)$  as a function of the continuous time *t* in the form

$$\dot{\mathcal{A}}_B = -\lambda (2 - D_0) \mathcal{A}_B + g (2 - D_0) v_r L^{\frac{2}{2 - D_0}} (\mathcal{A}_B)^{-\beta}$$
(7)

where the exponent  $\beta$  is a unique positive expression of the fractal dimension

$$\beta = \frac{D_0 - 1}{2 - D_0}.$$
(8)

The singular-looking reaction term on the right-hand side (rhs) of (7) expresses the fact that the smaller the area, the larger the production rate becomes, just as one expects for reactions on a fractal catalyst. Both the discrete-time and the continuous-time area dynamics possess a fixed point steady state. The corresponding product area of the latter is

$$\mathcal{A}_B^* = \left(\frac{gv_{\rm r}}{\lambda L}\right)^{(2-D_0)} L^2. \tag{9}$$

Note that this is much larger than the product area along a single non-fractal line (obtained as the special case  $D_0 = 1$ ) since the expression in the parentheses is much less than unity in view of our assumption of the slowness of the active dynamics. This clearly indicates that the presence of a fractal catalyst makes the reactions much more efficient. The important caveat is that the fractal backbone is not introduced 'by hand' as an imposed mixing rule, it is rather generated naturally by the *chaotic advection dynamics* of the fluid flow transporting the tracers. The chaotic set is the union of unstable bounded orbits. The reactions take place along the outflow curves from these orbits (the unstable manifold). For a time periodic flow the unstable manifold moves periodically in the plane of the flow (but does not change its fractal dimension). This is, however, not a basic difference since, on a stroboscopic map, the manifold appears to be still.

In order to illustrate and also check the above general heuristic arguments, in this paper we consider two variants of the Baker map, which describe a discrete mixing dynamics with hyperbolic chaotic properties. These maps are simple enough to facilitate an exact analytic approach and yet they incorporate the generic features of mixing from realistic flows. Within this model we are able to derive exact expressions for the area dynamics and thus to identify the geometric factor, which was not possible within the phenomenological theories of active chaos. Our paper is organized as follows: in section 2 we introduce a simple variant of the Baker map on which we superimpose the chemical activity, thus introducing a novel reactive dynamical system, the *active Baker map*. Then we derive the exact stripe dynamics for this map, including the reaction equation describing the area dynamics of the reactants in the system. In section 3 we compare the exact expressions with the heuristic results given in the introduction, identifying the exact effects of the fractal geometry on the geometric factor. In section 4 we consider a second variant for the Baker map, and show the robustness of the heuristic results. Section 5 is devoted to discussions and outlook.

#### 2. The active Baker map

The passive (reaction-free) Baker map is probably the simplest dynamical system which exhibits chaotic behaviour of purely hyperbolic type. Its simplicity allows for analytic manipulations, and thus it plays a crucial role from a theoretical standpoint, comparable to the Ising model from statistical mechanics. There are several variants to this map, and we shall consider two of them in more detail. Let us give first one variant of the Baker map and then define the active version based on this, then in section 4 introduce another variant with the associated active counterpart, and then finally compare the results based on the actual realizations of the maps. Assuming that we start from a completely filled unit square, one step of the Baker map consists of the following: (1) the lower (upper) half of the unit square is compressed in the *x* direction by a factor of a < 1/2 around the fixed point in (0, 0) ((1, 1)), and then (2) the compressed stripes are stretched along the *y* direction (around the same fixed points) by a factor of  $b \ge 2$ . For b > 2 there will be 'material' reaching over the unit square, which is clipped and discarded. In this case the map is modelling an open flow. By taking the unit square as the phase space of the Baker map, the characteristic length *L* of the dynamics

is chosen to be unity. When ab = 1, the Baker map is area preserving in the sense that its Jacobian is uniformly unity; however, it is an open chaotic map for any b > 2, the chaos being of transient type [11]. Mathematically, the image (x', y') through the Baker map of a point (x, y) from the unit square is given by

$$\begin{aligned} x' &= ax + (1-a)\theta(y-1/2) & x \in [0,1] \\ y' &= by - (b-1)\theta(y-1/2) & y \in [0,1] \end{aligned}$$
 (10)

where  $\theta(x)$  is the Heaviside step function. The map is not defined outside the unit square (i.e. L = 1) and thus chemical reactions can only occur inside the unit square. In the following we restrict ourselves to open area preserving systems, i.e. to the regime b = 1/a > 2. Repeated application of the map will result in a sequence of vertical stripes of various widths which form the hierarchy of a one-dimensional Cantor set in the unit interval along the *x* axis. Contraction towards the vertical filaments is governed by the Lyapunov exponent

$$\lambda = -\ln a > 0. \tag{11}$$

Since, due to the construction, there is no structure in the vertical direction, the map is effectively one dimensional. The fractal dimension  $D_0 - 1$  of the Cantor set on which the stripes reside, is simply calculated to be

$$D_0 - 1 = -\frac{\ln 2}{\ln a}.$$
 (12)

The decay of the area covered by the material left in the unit square is exponential, with a rate given by the so-called escape rate,  $\kappa$ :

$$\kappa = -\ln\left(2a\right) \tag{13}$$

so that  $D_0 = 2 - \kappa / \lambda$  holds for the fractal dimension of the stripes in the unit square. Initially, the empty space between the stripes is imagined to be filled with 'material' A, while the stripes contain material B.

We call the Baker map *active* when the two materials react with each other. We consider autocatalytic reactions  $A + B \rightarrow 2B$  (the same as in the introduction), with the reactions happening along the (vertical) contact lines. This reaction can alternatively be considered simply as the surface growth of material *B*, and leads to a broadening of the stripes relative to those of the passive Baker map. We assume that the reactions happen instantaneously and simultaneously in the system, *after every*  $\tau$  steps of the reaction-free Baker map. Time is measured in units of the Baker steps. Thus, for  $\tau = 1$ , the *B* surface grows after each Baker step by an amount of  $\sigma$  on each side of a stripe. For  $\tau = 2$ , two reaction-free Baker steps are taken before one instantaneous reaction occurs, etc.

For simplicity in writing, let us introduce the following convention: passive steps will be called *Baker steps*, and the combination of  $\tau$  Baker steps followed immediately by one reaction will be called one *active step*. If the gap between two stripes is shorter than  $2\sigma$ , the gap disappears during reaction, and the stripes merge into a single one. In the absence of reactions ( $\sigma = 0$ ) the steady state (defined as the asymptotic state  $t \to \infty$ ) is characterized by a zero Lebesque-measure distribution of material *B*, covering the *unstable manifold of the chaotic set* of dimension  $D_0$  of the Baker map. In the case of the active Baker map, however, there are new *B* areas of width  $\sigma$  appearing after periods  $\tau$  in the system, and thus a sort of dynamical balance is expected to set in between the escape dynamics and the growth dynamics.

#### 2.1. Stripe hierarchy in a simple case: one Baker step per active step

In spite of the fact that the Baker map is one of the simplest maps that exhibits chaotic dynamics, when chemical activity is also introduced, the reaction kinetics of the system becomes rather



**Figure 2.** Two consecutive steps of the Baker map and two reactions ( $\tau = 1$ ). The bands of width  $\sigma$  become occupied by *B* in each reaction. The material hanging over the unit square is discarded.

involved. Let us first start with the simpler case of one reaction per Baker step, i.e.  $\tau = 1$ . Figure 2 shows the succession of two such active steps. The black bands show the B material coming from the first reaction, while the dotted light gray bands are the *B* material emerging from the second reaction. From the point of view of the reaction kinetics, the distribution and the amount of material A (the gaps) is crucial. If an A stripe is narrower than  $2\sigma$  then it will fill up in the next reaction and disappear. During the Baker steps the hierarchy of gaps builds up with thinner and thinner gaps appearing. From a certain level on, the reactions put a stop to the hierarchy growth. The question is how in the long time limit the picture stabilizes and the system settles into a stationary state. Every gap is bounded from left and right by material B. Since the contraction by a is a point transformation (around the two fixed points at the edges), each gap will shrink by a factor of a and it will also get closer to the corresponding fixed point by the same factor a. After the reaction the gaps will lose  $2\sigma$  from their width. After one (n = 1) active step we get one gap of width  $1 - 2a - 2\sigma$ ; after two active steps we obtain three gaps: one of width  $1 - 2a - 2\sigma$ , and two gaps, each of width  $a(1 - 2a - 2\sigma) - 2\sigma$ ; for n = 3, we have a total of seven gaps, three just like in the n = 2 case and four new members, each of width  $a[a(1-2a-2\sigma)-2\sigma]-2\sigma$ , etc. An important observation is that, if gaps appear at a certain level of the hierarchy, there will always be gaps of precisely the same width and position in the unit square at any later time: during the next active step, a particular gap will *map* precisely down into the location of two other gaps of the given level. The largest gap (the top level of the hierarchy) is always located in between the points  $x_l = a + \sigma$  and  $x_{\rm r} = 1 - a - \sigma$ . During the next active step this particular gap is mapped into two lower level gaps; however, its original position and width is taken over by the new gap appearing as the images of the edges at x = 0 and 1 of the unit square, and modified by  $\sigma$  due to the reaction. Thus the hierarchy being formed can be described as follows. After n active steps, there will be gaps of type n (i.e. the hierarchy will have n levels). If  $w_1^{(j)}$  denotes the width of a gap on level *j*, and  $m_1^{(j)}$  denotes their number (or multiplicity), then one can write

$$w_1^{(j)} = (1-2a)a^{j-1} - 2\sigma \frac{1-a^j}{1-a} \qquad m_1^{(j)} = 2^{j-1}.$$
 (14)

Observe that the first term on the rhs of the first equation is exactly the width of the gaps in the reaction free Baker map ( $\sigma = 0$ ). The second represents the total amount of area decrease of each gap in *j* reaction steps:  $-2\sigma(1 + a + a^2 + \cdots + a^{j-1})$ . The total area occupied by the gaps

(or material A) after n active steps is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{A}^{(n)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{1}^{(j)} w_{1}^{(j)} = 1 - (2a)^{n} - \left(2^{n} - 1 - a\frac{1 - (2a)^{n}}{1 - 2a}\right) \frac{2\sigma}{1 - a}.$$
 (15)

The area occupied by material *B* is simply the complement of the above in the unit square:

$$\mathcal{A}_{B}^{(n)} = 1 - \mathcal{A}_{A}^{(n)} = (2a)^{n} + \left(2^{n} - 1 - a\frac{1 - (2a)^{n}}{1 - 2a}\right)\frac{2\sigma}{1 - a}.$$
(16)

When  $n \to \infty$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_A^{(n)}$  becomes negative, and later both areas formally diverge, which indicates that this process has to stop after a finite number of steps. This happens by the closing of the smallest gaps due to the reactions in the *n*\*th step: no further gaps can be created from a certain level down in the hierarchy because the reactions are of non-zero finite width  $\sigma$ . By definition, the thinnest gaps of an *n*-level hierarchy are the gaps at the lowest (*n*th) level of width  $w_1^{(n)}$ . The condition for the lowest level to be filled in *n*\* active steps is  $w_1^{(n^*-1)} > 0$  and  $w_1^{(n^*)} \leq 0$ . From this, and equation (14), we obtain

$$n^* = \begin{cases} [u]+1 & \text{if } u \text{ is non-integer} \\ u & \text{if } u \text{ is an integer} \end{cases}$$
(17)

with

ı

$$u = \frac{\ln\left(1 + \frac{(1-a)(1-2a)}{2\sigma a}\right)}{\ln\frac{1}{a}}$$
(18)

([x] denotes the integer part of x). For  $n \ge n^*$ ,  $w_1^{(n)} \le 0$  and there are no more gaps at and below this level. Thus, even if the active steps are repeated indefinitely, the hierarchy of gaps stops at  $j = n^*$ . Since the gaps at higher levels map into gaps at lower levels, a steady state is reached after  $n^*$  steps, and the whole material distribution becomes stationary. The fact that a stationary state is reached as a balance between the emptying dynamics and the reactions was numerically observed in all the previously studied dynamical systems (e.g. flow in the wake of a cylindrical obstacle) [2, 3], corroborating the generic character of the stationary state.

#### 2.2. Stripe-hierarchy for multiple Baker steps per active step

In this case there are  $\tau$  Baker steps taken before an instantaneous reaction occurs. Let us describe the hierarchy of gaps built up during *n* active steps. After the first  $\tau$  Baker steps we obtain the usual reaction-free Baker hierarchy with  $\tau$  different types of gaps (a total of  $2^{\tau} - 1$  gaps). After the first reaction there will be therefore  $m_k^{(1)} = 2^{k-1}$  gaps of type *k* whose width is  $w_k^{(1)} = a^{k-1}(1-2a) - 2\sigma$  with  $k = 1, 2, ..., \tau$ . Following the next active step, one obtains  $2\tau$  types of gaps. The first  $\tau$  types of gaps are generated by the *k* times iterated images of the endpoints x = 0 and 1 ( $k = 1, 2, ..., \tau$ ) and modified by the reaction. These have multiplicities, widths and positions exactly as those after the first active step. The second  $\tau$  types of gaps are  $\tau$  times iterated images of the gaps coming from the first active step. The widths and multiplicities of this second set are given by  $w_k^{(2)} = a^{\tau} w_k^{(1)} - 2\sigma$ , and  $m_k^{(2)} = 2^{\tau+k-1}$  on each level  $k = 1, ..., \tau$ . In general, if we perform *n* active steps, there will be a total of  $2^{n\tau} - 1$  gaps generated with the following widths and multiplicities:

$$w_k^{(1)} = a^{k-1}(1-2a) - 2\sigma \qquad m_k^{(1)} = 2^{(k-1)} w_k^{(j)} = a^{\tau} w_k^{(j-1)} - 2\sigma \qquad m_k^{(j)} = 2^{(j-1)\tau+k-1}$$
(19)

where  $k = 1, ..., \tau$  and j = 2, ..., n. Analogously to the  $\tau = 1$  case, during the iteration, the gap hierarchy changes by the *addition* of finer gaps, and the previously created gap structure

is *not modified* by the new reaction. However, in contrast to the  $\tau = 1$  case the new gaps resulting from the new reaction form a *sub-hierarchy* of  $\tau$  levels. In the expressions (19) *j* denotes the main levels of the hierarchy (related to the state just after a reaction) while *k* quantifies the hierarchy on the subtree level (created by the in-between-reactions Baker steps). Recursion (19) is solved easily, and the result is

$$\begin{array}{lll} w_k^{(j)} &=& (1-2a)a^{(j-1)\tau+k-1} - 2\sigma \frac{1-a^{j\tau}}{1-a^{\tau}} \\ m_k^{(j)} &=& 2^{(j-1)\tau+k-1} \end{array} \right\} \qquad \qquad j=1,\ldots,n.$$

Obviously the widths are decreasing functions of both j and k (down the hierarchy) as also seen from (20). Therefore, after n active steps the thinnest gaps will have the width of  $w_{\tau}^{(n)} = (1 - 2a)a^{n\tau-1} - 2\sigma(1 - a^{n\tau})/(1 - a^{\tau})$  and multiplicity of  $m_{\tau}^{(n)} = 2^{n\tau-1}$ . Another important consequence of the monotonic behaviour is that the widths found within a level jare *all* smaller than the widths found within the level j - 1. This statement is easily proven by comparing the thinnest and thickest gaps of the neighbouring levels. Thus, the total area of material A after n active steps is computed as

$$\mathcal{A}_{A}^{(n)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\tau} m_{k}^{(j)} w_{k}^{(j)} = 1 - (2a)^{n\tau} - \left(2^{n\tau} - 1 - a^{\tau} \left(2^{\tau} - 1\right) \frac{1 - (2a)^{n\tau}}{1 - (2a)^{\tau}}\right) \frac{2\sigma}{1 - a^{\tau}}.$$
(21)

For  $\tau = 1$  we indeed recover equation (15). The total amount of material *B* left in the system will again be the complementary of (21) in the unit square, i.e.  $\mathcal{A}_B^{(n)} = 1 - \mathcal{A}_A^{(n)}$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_{B}^{(n)} = (2a)^{n\tau} + \left(2^{n\tau} - 1 - a^{\tau} \left(2^{\tau} - 1\right) \frac{1 - (2a)^{n\tau}}{1 - (2a)^{\tau}}\right) \frac{2\sigma}{1 - a^{\tau}}.$$
 (22)

As *n* (time) increases, there will be a critical  $n^* = n^*(\tau)$  value at which gaps start to disappear for the first time. Of course, equation (22) is valid only in the regime where no gaps have been filled yet. This means that, for  $n = n^* - 1$ , all the gaps of the hierarchy (20) exist, but for  $n = n^*$  some of the gaps have disappeared. Let us calculate this value and see how a stationary state is reached. Since the thinnest gaps of width  $w_{\tau}^{(n)}$  must have disappeared at  $n = n^*$  (i.e.  $w_{\tau}^{(n^*)} \leq 0$ ), but they were present at  $n = n^* - 1$  (i.e.  $w_{\tau}^{(n^*-1)} > 0$ ),  $n^*$  is calculated as

$$n^* = \begin{cases} [u]+1 & \text{if } u \text{ is non-integer} \\ u & \text{if } u \text{ is an integer} \end{cases}$$
(23)

where

$$u = \frac{\ln\left(1 + \frac{(1-a^{r})(1-2a)}{2\sigma a}\right)}{\ln\frac{1}{a^{r}}}.$$
(24)

This gives the start of the filling in terms of the number of active-steps. However, it is not necessary that only the thinnest gaps of  $n^*$  have been filled. In principle, all the gaps of level  $n^*$  can be filled because, as observed above, the widths of gaps at a higher level are *all* larger than those of a lower level. How many gaps of level  $n^*$  are filled does not follow from (24). Performing another active step, we may still obtain a partially filled sub-hierarchy on the main level  $n^* + 1$ , and similarly in the next step, etc. However, since the width of the gap additions is rapidly decreasing, this process will eventually stop. Once stopped, the whole material distribution becomes stationary and the system is settled into a steady state. The system reaches the steady state when the thickest (k = 1) gaps are also filled on the next main level. This happens at  $m^*$  for which  $w_1^{(m)} > 0$  if  $n < m^*$ , but  $w_1^{(m^*)} \leq 0$ . This leads to

$$m^* = \begin{cases} [s]+1 & \text{if } s \text{ is non-integer} \\ s & \text{if } s \text{ is an integer} \end{cases}$$
(25)

where

$$s = \frac{\ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{a^{\tau}} \frac{(1 - a^{\tau})(1 - 2a)}{2\sigma}\right)}{\ln\frac{1}{a^{\tau}}}.$$
(26)

Next we compare  $m^*$  and  $n^*$  in order to see how many steps are needed to reach the steady state, once a filling begins at  $n^*$ . From equations (24) and (26) it is obvious that s > u (the numerator on the rhs of (26) is larger than that of (24) as  $\tau > 1$ ). Let us introduce the notation

$$v \equiv \frac{(1-a^{\tau})(1-2a)}{2\sigma}.$$
(27)

The quantities s and u then become

$$s = 1 + \frac{\ln\left(a^{\tau} + v\right)}{\tau \ln\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)} \qquad \text{and} \qquad u = \frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\ln\left(a + v\right)}{\tau \ln\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)}.$$
 (28)

Simple manipulations lead to

$$s - u = 1 - \frac{1}{\tau} \left( 1 + \frac{\ln\left(\frac{a+v}{a^{\tau}+v}\right)}{\ln\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)} \right) < 1.$$
<sup>(29)</sup>

This means that we have the bounds u < s < u + 1, when  $\tau \ge 2$ . (For  $\tau = 1$ , s and u are identical.) This implies that

$$m^* \in \{n^*, n^* + 1\} \tag{30}$$

i.e. the steady state is reached either on level  $n^*$ , or on the next level,  $n^* + 1$ .

When  $m^* = n^*$ , equation (21) correctly describes the time evolution of material A over the whole range  $n = 1, 2, ..., n^* - 1$ , since there are no partially filled main levels. Because there are no new gaps added on the  $n^*$ th step, in this case  $\mathcal{A}_A^{(n^*)} = \mathcal{A}_A^{(n^*-1)}$  is the steady state gap area. When  $m^* = n^* + 1$ , the last main level is partially filled, and in this case  $\mathcal{A}_A^{(n^*+1)} = \mathcal{A}_A^{(n^*)}$ (on the  $(n^* + 1)$ th step no new gaps are created) is the steady-state gap area

$$\mathcal{A}_{A}^{(n^{*})} = \mathcal{A}_{A}^{(n^{*}-1)} + \sum_{k=1}^{k^{*}} m_{k}^{(n^{*})} w_{k}^{(n^{*})}$$
(31)

where  $k^*$  is defined as the sub-level below which no gaps exist within the main level  $n^*$ , i.e.  $w_k^{(n^*)} > 0$  for  $k \le k^*$ , and  $w_k^{(n^*)} \le 0$  for  $k > k^*$ . This results in

$$k^* = \begin{cases} [y] + 1 & \text{if } y \text{ is non-integer} \\ y & \text{if } y \text{ is an integer} \end{cases}$$
(32)

where

$$y = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{1-2a}{2\sigma}\frac{1-a^{\tau}}{a^{\tau}}\frac{a^{n^{*}\tau}}{1-a^{n^{*}\tau}}\right)}{\ln\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)}.$$
(33)

For the  $m^* = n^*$  case we define  $k^*$  to be zero. Figure 3 shows the values of  $m^*$ ,  $n^*$  and  $k^*$  as a function of *a* for a few particular  $\tau$  values.

#### 2.3. Steady-state areas

Next we analyse the steady-state expression of the area occupied by material *B*. When  $m^* = n^*$ , the steady-state value of the *B* area is given by  $\mathcal{A}_B^{(n^*-1)}$ , and for  $m^* = n^* + 1$  by  $\mathcal{A}_B^{(n^*)}$ , the complementary of (31) in the unit square, i.e.  $\mathcal{A}_B^{(n^*)} = \mathcal{A}_B^{(n^*-1)} - \sum_{k=1}^{k^*} m_k^{(n^*)} w_k^{(n^*)}$ . The expression of  $\mathcal{A}_B^{(n^*-1)}$  is computed from (22)–(24). There are two cases in equation (23)



**Figure 3.** (*a*) Dependence of  $m^*$  and  $n^*$  on *a* for three  $\tau$  values:  $\tau = 1, 2, 5$ . Notice that relation (30) holds. (*b*) Dependence of  $m^*$  and  $k^*$  on *a* for  $\tau = 5$ .  $k^*$  (thick lines) can take on any value from the set  $\{0, 1, 2, ..., \tau - 1\}$ . In all cases  $\sigma = 10^{-5}$ .

corresponding to the generic situation when u is non-integer and to the rather special case when u is an integer. They can be treated on the same footing by introducing the 'remainder':

$$r \equiv \begin{cases} \{u\} & \text{if } u \text{ is non-integer} \\ 1 & \text{if } u \text{ is an integer} \end{cases}$$
(34)

where {*x*} denotes the fractional part of *x* ( $0 < \{x\} < 1$ ). Thus  $n^* - 1 = u - r$ .

From equation (24) it follows that  $a^{u\tau} = (1 + v/a)^{-1}$ , where v is given in (27). Using this expression, and (22), one obtains

$$\mathcal{A}_{B}^{(n^{*}-1)} = \left(2^{u\tau} \frac{2\sigma a \ C + (1 - (2a)^{\tau}) \ D}{2\sigma a + (1 - a^{\tau}) \ (1 - 2a)} - 1\right) \frac{2\sigma}{1 - (2a)^{\tau}}$$
(35)

where

$$C = (2a)^{-r\tau} \frac{a^{\tau} (2^{\tau} - 1)}{1 - a^{\tau}} + 2^{-r\tau} \frac{1 - (2a)^{\tau}}{1 - a^{\tau}}$$
(36)

$$D = (2a)^{-r\tau} a + 2^{-r\tau} (1 - 2a).$$
(37)

Unfortunately the coefficients C and D cannot be simplified further, since they contain, via r, the fractional-part function which usually hinders analytical manipulations.

All these calculations refer to the area of *B* occupied after  $n^* - 1$  active steps. When  $m^* = n^*$  (see figures 3 and 4(*a*))  $\mathcal{A}_B^{(n^*-1)} = \mathcal{A}_B^{(n^*)}$  is the steady-state area of *B*. When  $m^* = n^* + 1$  (see figures 3) and 4(*a*) we have to substract from  $\mathcal{A}_B^{(n^*-1)}$  the 'remainder'  $\sum_{k=1}^{k^*} m_k^{(n^*)} w_k^{(n^*)}$ . This leads to a similar expression to (35), but *C* and *D* are changed. Thus for the steady-state area we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{B}^{(n^{*})} = \left(2^{u\tau} \frac{2\sigma a \ C' + (1 - (2a)^{\tau}) \ D'}{2\sigma a + (1 - a^{\tau}) \ (1 - 2a)} - 1\right) \frac{2\sigma}{1 - (2a)^{\tau}}$$
(38)

where C' and D' are shorthand notations for

$$C' = 2^{k^* - r\tau} \frac{1 - (2a)^{\tau}}{1 - a^{\tau}} \left( 1 - a^{(1-r)\tau} \right) + (2a)^{(1-r)\tau}$$
(39)

$$D' = (2a)^{k^* - r\tau} a + 2^{k^* - r\tau} (1 - 2a).$$
(40)

From these we recover (36) and (37) with  $k^* = 0$ , that is, for  $m^* = n^*$ , see figure 4. This means that the steady states are described by (38)–(40) in all cases. Note that the steady-state area  $\mathcal{A}_B^{(n^*)}$  is a continuous function of *a* while  $\mathcal{A}_B^{(n^*-1)}$  is not necessarily so. However, the derivative of  $\mathcal{A}_B^{(n^*)}$  with respect to *a* is discontinuous at all the points where  $k^*$  is discontinuous.

In this section we have derived the dynamics of the areas covered by materials *A* and *B*. Our investigations have been restricted, however, to the time instants right after the reaction events. In the appendix we include the whole area dynamics of the active Baker map, i.e. we examine there what happens between subsequent reaction events. In the next section we make a connection with the existing heuristical theory of active advection and identify the geometric factor for the active Baker map.

#### 3. Comparison with the heuristical theory: derivation of the geometric factor

The heuristical theory presented in the introduction and also in detail in [3,6] derives a recursion relation for the area covered by material B in arbitrary open flows just after the (n+1)st reaction as a function of the area occupied by B just after the nth reaction. This theory is valid for purely hyperbolic systems. In this section we show that in the limit of sufficiently small  $\sigma$ the phenomenological expressions derived in [3, 6] are recovered. The heuristic formulae were derived with the basic assumption that the material B in the steady state is covering the unstable manifold of a chaotic set. The interesting and novel reaction kinetics is observed in the parameter region (of  $\sigma$ ,  $\tau$ ,  $D_0$  and  $\lambda$ ) where the coverage is such that the hierarchical structure of the (fractal) unstable manifold is preserved in the system over several length scales. For example, if  $\sigma$  is too large then the fractal filamental structure of the unstable manifold is simply washed out during reactions, and thus the reaction kinetics will be of the common classical type of surface reactions [12]. The effects of fractility of the manifold is seen when  $\sigma$  is small enough so that the coverage of the filaments of the unstable manifold preserves the filamental structures, in forms of stripes of average width  $\epsilon^* \ll 1$ . For the Baker map this means that the number of filaments in the steady state,  $2^{n^*\tau}$ , is much greater than unity:  $2^{n^*\tau} \gg 1$ . From the calculations above it follows that this regime is reached for 'intermediate'



**Figure 4.** The values  $m^*$ ,  $n^*$  and  $k^*$  at  $\tau = 3$  and  $\sigma = 10^{-5}$  (*a*), and the corresponding steady-state area  $\mathcal{A}_B^{(n^*)}$  of material *B*, together with  $\mathcal{A}_B^{(n^*-1)}$ , (*b*). Note that the two areas coincide for  $k^* = 0$ , that is when  $m^* = n^*$ .

*a* values at fixed  $\tau$  and  $\sigma \ll 1$ . (If *a* is close enough to either 0 or 0.5,  $n^*$  is close to unity.) However, the smaller  $\sigma$  is the wider the 'intermediate' *a* range is where fractal behaviour is important, see the expression of *u*, equation (24). In particular for  $\sigma = 10^{-5}$  the number of resolved levels is maximum ( $n^* = 4$ ) in the interval  $a \in (0.29, 0.49)$ , see figure 4(*a*). In the following we will assume that we are in this *scaling* regime  $\sigma \ll 1$ . First observe that, in the fraction multiplying  $2^u$  in equation (38), one can neglect  $\sigma$ , since  $\sigma \ll 1$ . Similarly, it is easy to show that  $2^u \simeq (v/a)^{-\ln 2/\ln a}$  (according to (27), since  $v \gg 1$ ). It also follows that  $2^u \gg 1$ and the 1 can be neglected in the large round brackets of (38). Thus we end up with

$$\mathcal{A}_{B}^{(n^{*})} \simeq 2^{u\tau} \frac{2\sigma D'}{(1-a^{\tau})(1-2a)} = e^{\kappa\tau} \left(\frac{\sigma g}{e^{\kappa\tau/(2-D_{0})}-1}\right)^{2-D_{0}}$$
(41)

with  $D_0$  and  $\kappa$  given by equations (12) and (13), and D' by (40). Here

$$g = \frac{2a}{1 - 2a} \left(\frac{D'}{a}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-D_0}} = 2 \frac{(2D')^{\frac{1}{2-D_0}}}{1 - 2a}$$
(42)

is a factor which mainly depends on the characteristics of the chaotic Baker dynamics. Equation (41) gives the expression of the steady-state *B* area just after a reaction. This coincides precisely with the heuristically derived equation (6) (see also equation (8) of [3]), after employing the relation  $\kappa/(2-D_0) = \lambda$ . However, while *g* appears as a phenomenological



**Figure 5.** Comparing the approximate expression (41) with the exact (38). The full curve is equation (38) and the broken curve is equation (41). We have also plotted an upper bound (using  $g_{\ell}$ , dotted curve) and a lower bound (using  $g_{\ell}$ , chain curve) for equation (41). Here  $\tau = 3$  and  $\sigma = 10^{-5}$ .

constant in (6) and [3], in the present case of the active Baker map, its expression can be given explicitly.

Figure 5 shows (on a linear-log scale) for comparison the *a* dependence of the steady-state areas of *B* for the exact expression of  $\mathcal{A}_B^{(n^*)}$  from (38) and the approximation in (41). Note that the two are virtually identical in the scaling regime of *a*. The explicit expression for *g* is rather complex due to its dependence on the integer-part function via D', see equation (40). It can, however, be shown that D' is a bounded variable. First,  $D' \leq 1 - a$ . For the geometric factor this leads to the solely *a*-dependent upper bound,  $g_{\mu}$ :

$$g \leqslant \frac{2a}{1-2a} \left(\frac{1-a}{a}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-D_0} \equiv g_u.$$

$$\tag{43}$$

Next, a lower bound can be derived for g, as follows. Let  $F(x) = a(2a)^{-x} + (1 - 2a)2^{-x}$ , then  $D' = F(r\tau - k^*)$ . It can be shown that  $0 \le r\tau - k^* < 1$ , and that the function F has a single minimum in the  $x \in [0, 1)$  interval, at

$$x_{\ell} = 1 - \frac{1}{\ln a} \ln \left( (1 - 2a) \frac{\ln 2}{\ln \frac{1}{2a}} \right).$$
(44)

Thus,  $D' \ge F(x_\ell) \equiv D'_\ell$  and therefore  $g \ge g_\ell$ , where  $g_\ell$  is given by (42) with D' replaced by  $D'_\ell$ . Note that, for  $a \to 1/2$ , g diverges since it is inversely proportional to 1 - 2a. This divergence, is, however, cancelled in the expression for the area by the exponent  $2 - D_0$ . In other words, the quantity  $g^{2-D_0}$  is well behaved ( $1 \le g^{2-D_0} \le 4$ ). Let us introduce therefore the quantity  $G \equiv g^{2-D_0}$ . In figure 6 we show  $G, G_\ell$  and  $G_u$  as functions of a. Figure 5 shows the corresponding area dependence created with both the upper bound (dotted curve) and the lower bound (chain curve). Both bounds are independent on  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$ . The two bounds give a rather close approximation to the exact area expression, as shown in figure 5. This implies that the factor g is weakly dependent on the reaction range  $\sigma$  and on the reaction time  $\tau$ , corroborating our claim that it is only *geometrical*, i.e. it mainly depends on the parameters of the mixing dynamics (in this case, on a).



**Figure 6.** Comparing the geometrical coefficients G,  $G_{\ell}$  and  $G_u$ . Here  $\tau = 3$  and  $\sigma = 10^{-5}$ .

It is also worth comparing the average width of the stripes of material *B* in the Baker map to that obtained in the introduction (see equation (2)) and in [3]. The average stripe width in the steady state can be obtained as  $\varepsilon^* = \mathcal{A}_B^{(n^*)}/2^{(n^*-1)\tau+k^*}$ , because there are  $2^{(n^*-1)\tau+k^*}$  stripes. In the small  $\sigma \ll 1$  range this gives

$$\varepsilon^* \approx \frac{2^{u\tau}}{2^{(n^*-1)\tau+k^*}} \frac{2\sigma D'}{(1-a^\tau)(1-2a)} = \frac{g'\sigma}{1-a^\tau}$$
(45)

where g' is given by

$$g' = 2 + \frac{2a^{k^* + 1 - r\tau}}{1 - 2a}.$$
(46)

Equation (45) is exactly the same as (2) and the steady state of equation (6) of [3] calculated after the reactions. Note that geometrical factor (46) differs from (42). The reason is that, in the heuristic theory of the introduction and [3], the fractal scaling relation  $\mathcal{A}_B \sim \epsilon^{2-D_0}$  was extended for the steady-state stripe width, that is, for  $\varepsilon^*$ . For this value of  $\epsilon$ , we are at the edge of the validity of the above mentioned scaling, since a crossover to a two-dimensional behaviour sets in. This leads to different geometrical factors for the areas and for the stripe widths.

# 4. Another form of the Baker map

In this section we slightly modify the Baker map. One reason to do so is to check whether the results obtained so far are robust enough. We show that only the geometrical factor is altered in this case; the main results obtained in the introduction and [2,3] are the same. The other reason why another form of the Baker map is introduced is based on the observation that, during the reactions, there is material hanging over the vertical edges (the edges parallel to the background flow) of the unit square. The behaviour of this material is not described by the Baker map in the form of (10). Moreover, the cutting of these stripes hanging over the unit square is quite unnatural in terms of hydrodynamics: there is enough space for the growing of material across the background flow, the growth is usually not limited by such a sharp obstacle as the edge of the unit square. The modified Baker map differs from (10) in the location of the fixed points around which the compression takes place. It consists of two steps: (1) the lower and upper half of the unit square is compressed in the x direction by a factor of a < 1/2 around the fixed point in (1/2 - d/2(1 - a), 0) and (1/2 + d/2(1 - a), 1), respectively, where d is a parameter chosen appropriately, and then (2) the compressed stripes are stretched along the y direction (around the same fixed points) by a factor of b = 1/a > 2. In other words, after one Baker step we get two vertical (parallel to the y axis) stripes centred around (1 - d)/2 and (1+d)/2. Their centres are at a distance of d. It can be chosen such that the stripes do not reach the vertical edges of the unit square, thus there remains enough space for the reactions. An appropriate choice is, for example, d = 1/2. The price for this modification is a more involved algebra. In this case, after each Baker step there are empty areas (i.e. covered by material A) inside the stripes of width a, into which the unit square is mapped. This means that the gaps are wider than in the former derivation, and their calculation is not trivial. In fact, it is more convenient to follow the distance of the centres of the neighbouring stripes. In a Baker step, all existing central distances are multiplied by a, and a new level of the hierarchy is introduced. This hierarchy changes only if there are gaps disappearing. Note that the stripe dynamics of the areas covered by material B is much simpler than in the former Baker model: all stripes of material B have equal widths. Without going into details, we summarize the results obtained with this modified Baker model for the steady-state areas. First let us introduce

$$\sigma_{\rm CR} = \frac{d(1-2a)(1-a^{\tau})}{2(1-a)} > 0.$$
(47)

This is a critical value of the reaction distance for any choice of *a* and *d*; if  $\sigma > \sigma_{CR}$  then there is material *B* hanging over the vertical edges of the unit square in the steady state. For  $\sigma < \sigma_{CR}$ , which we assume in what follows, we obtain a steady state where a Cantor-like hierarchy is produced with *N* different levels, where *N* is given by

$$N = \begin{cases} [z]+1 & \text{if } z \text{ is non-integer} \\ z & \text{if } z \text{ is an integer} \end{cases}$$
(48)

where

$$z = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{\sigma_{CR}}{\sigma}\right)}{\ln\left(\frac{1}{\sigma}\right)}.$$
(49)

The steady-state stripe width of material B after the reactions is

$$\varepsilon^* = \frac{2\sigma}{1 - a^\tau} + \frac{a^N d}{1 - a}.$$
(50)

This is to be compared to the steady-state stripe width (2), using (12) and (11), which hold for the modified Baker map as well. From this equation and from (50) we obtain

$$\overline{g}' = 2 + \frac{1 - a^{\tau}}{1 - a} \frac{a^N d}{\sigma}.$$
(51)

In case of this modified Baker map we have also obtained a correction to the g = 2 value, which is due to the overlapping of stripes during the reactions. The geometrical factor depends mainly on parameter a of the Baker map, as from (47) and (48) we obtain the bounds

$$2 + \frac{2a}{1 - 2a} < \overline{g}' \leqslant 2 + \frac{2}{1 - 2a}.$$
(52)

The total area covered by material B is

$$\mathcal{A}_B = 2^N \varepsilon^* = 2^N \left( \frac{2\sigma}{1 - a^\tau} + \frac{da^N}{1 - a} \right).$$
(53)



**Figure 7.** Compare the geometric factors from equations (42) (full curve), (46) (broken curve), (54) (dotted curve) and (51) (chain curve).  $\tau = 3$ ,  $\sigma = 10^{-5}$ , d = 1/2.

This is, again, in coincidence with that obtained in equation (8) of [3], but the geometrical factor is different from (51); it is

$$\overline{g} = \frac{1 - a^{\tau}}{\sigma a^{\tau}} \left( \frac{2^{N + \tau + 1} \sigma a^{\tau}}{1 - a^{\tau}} + \frac{(2a)^{N + \tau} d}{1 - a} \right)^{\frac{1}{2 - D_0}}.$$
(54)

The deviation between  $\overline{g}$  and  $\overline{g'}$  is again the result of the extension of the fractal scaling to the range of  $\varepsilon^*$ , to the steady-state stripe width. In figure 7 we compare the four geometrical factors (42) (full curve), (54) (dotted curve), (46) (broken curve) and (51) (chain curve) as a function of a. Although the average trend of the curves is the same, there are large deviations due to the fact that g' and  $\overline{g'}$  are discontinuous functions. The deviations, however, are less pronounced in the scaling region where the fractal structures of the maps are better resolved. This leads us to the conclusion that the geometric factor strongly depends on the particular realizations and relative positions of the filaments, even when these realizations share the same fractal and dynamical properties  $(D_0, \lambda)$ .

#### 5. Discussions and outlook

In this paper we have investigated the effects of a fractal geometric catalyst supplied, for example, by the Langrangian dynamics of an underlying flow on the reaction kinetics of autocatalytic reactants transported in this flow. The reactive particles were assumed to simply follow the local velocity of the fluid element at their position and act as simple passive tracers that do not modify the properties of the flow. For mixing dynamics we have considered the simplest possible dynamics, namely two variants of the Baker map, in order to be able to generate an analytic description of the reaction process. Even though the Baker map is the simplest map with chaotic mixing, when reactions are superimposed on the transport dynamics, the analytical calculations become involved since the reaction range  $\sigma$  introduces a *finite nonscaling cutoff* which breaks the strict self-similarity. In the limit of slow reactions one is able to recover from these exact formulae the heuristic expressions derived for general flows, thus validating the latter approach, and showing that, indeed, the fractal backbone does enhance the productivity of reactions, and acts as a fractal catalyst. A crucial point in the



**Figure 8.** The dependence of the geometric factors g,  $\overline{g}$ , g',  $\overline{g'}$  on the reaction range,  $\sigma$ . Here a = 0.4,  $\tau = 3$ , and d = 1/2.

consistency between the heuristic and exact approach is played by the so-called geometrical factor g (or its variants  $g', \bar{g}, \bar{g'}$ ). By definition, it should be a parameter reflecting mainly the geometrical properties of the mixing dynamics (of the hydrodynamical flow). In the heuristic theory it is postulated to be independent of the chemistry parameters  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ . The consistency is achieved by showing that it is only weakly depending on these parameters, in the scaling limit at least. This property follows from the close bounds (43) and (52) on the geometrical factor(s) depending on a only. A direct plot of the geometrical factors as a function of the reaction range  $\sigma$  (figure 8) also supports this property and suggests a weak, approximately periodic, dependence on the logarithm of the reaction range, over several orders of magnitude. Note that the actual value of the geometrical factor strongly depends on the two independent global chaos parameters  $(\lambda, D_0)$  which determine the chemical kinematics (see the introduction), but might also depend on other aspects of the chaotic dynamics (as, e.g., on the parameter d of the modified Baker map). Various improvements and extensions to the present theory are possible: (1) one can consider [13, 15] the more realistic situation of non-simultaneous reactions, i.e. the particles have a random phase dispersed among them. We expect that this only leads to a more rough, fluctuating A-B interface along the manifolds; however, these fluctuations are bounded due to the fact that only correlations of finite length can develop along the interface during the finite time lag  $\tau$ , and thus it will renormalize the front velocity; (2) the interacting particles (which can be, for example, phytoplankton [14]) may locally modify the advection dynamics due to inertia and finite size effects. In this case the phase space of the problem increases in dimensionality by the number of velocity components of the particles since the latter no longer is the same as the fluid elements' velocity; (3) and last but not least, various other chemical reactions should be monitored, besides the autocatalytic ones presented here. Finally, it is worth connecting the Baker map results with the general description given in the

introduction. To this order, we mention that in a map of linear extension L, the reaction range is  $\sigma L$ . Analogously, the dimensional reaction time is  $\tau T$ , where T is the temporal period over which the Baker map is acting. The reaction velocity  $v_r$  is then

$$v_{\rm r} = \frac{\sigma L}{\tau T}.$$
(55)

Taking the continuum limit of the reaction (see the introduction) means that both the reaction range and time are short on the corresponding macroscopic scales. Since *L* is interpreted as a hydrodynamical length, this implies  $\sigma \ll 1$  as used in the Baker map. On the other hand, since  $\tau$  was taken to be of order unity,  $\tau T$  can only be short if the duration of the map is much *shorter than the characteristic hydrodynamical time*. Even without further specifying the choice of *T*, we can derive a useful condition in terms of a dimensionless number.

In the case of reactions in fluid flows with chaotic mixing properties we have three distinct levels of time-continuous dynamics built on top of each other:

• the Eulerian description of the hydrodynamics, characterized by one main parameter, the Reynolds number

$$Re = \frac{UL}{v}$$
(56)

where U is a typical velocity, L is the typical length scale and  $\nu$  is the kinematic viscosity;

• the Langrangian description of the dynamics, characterized by the fractal dimension of the 'transport manifolds' (unstable manifolds), and by the contraction dynamics on them:

$$D_0 = 2 - \frac{\kappa}{\lambda}$$
  $\lambda = \lambda(\text{Re})$   $\kappa = \kappa(\text{Re})$  (57)

• the reaction kinetics, described by a 'reaction' Pèclet number,

$$(\operatorname{Pe}_{\mathrm{r}})^{-1} = \frac{v_{\mathrm{r}}}{\lambda L}$$
  $\operatorname{Pe}_{\mathrm{r}} = \operatorname{Pe}_{\mathrm{r}}(\lambda(\operatorname{Re})).$  (58)

The reaction equation (7) can be made dimensionless if the area is measured in units of  $L^2$ , and the time in units of the characteristic lifetime of (the Lagrangian) chaos,  $1/\kappa = 1/(\lambda(2-D_0))$ :

$$\dot{\mathcal{A}}_B = -\mathcal{A}_B + g \, \frac{1}{\operatorname{Pe}_{\mathbf{r}}} (\mathcal{A}_B)^{-\beta}.$$
(59)

The active Baker map's Pèclet number is

$$(\operatorname{Pe}_{\mathrm{r}})^{-1} = \frac{\sigma}{\tau T \lambda} = \frac{\sigma}{\tau \ln\left(1/a\right)}.$$
(60)

Here we have used that the dimensional Lyapunov exponent of the map is  $-\ln a/T$ . With our typical choice of  $\sigma = 10^{-5}$ ,  $\tau = 1, 2, 3$ , and *a* of the order of 1/4, the reaction Pèclet number is much larger then unity. It is worth giving the definition of the traditional Pèclet number [16] which measures the importance of *diffusion* relative to the flow:

$$(\mathrm{Pe})^{-1} \equiv \frac{D}{UL} = \frac{v_{\mathrm{d}}}{U} \tag{61}$$

where  $v_d = D/L$  is the characteristic diffusion velocity. Large Pe implies weak diffusion. As suggested by the analogy between the traditional and the reaction Pèclet number, the reaction range plays a similar role as a diffusion length and the reaction velocity  $v_r$  as D/L. Furthermore, if molecular diffusion is present but not very strong, it only renormalizes the reaction front velocity and all the formulae shown here are valid with  $v_r$  replaced by an effective reaction front velocity [6]. Note that the direct reaction analogue of the Pèclet number would be  $v_r/U$ . It is worth pointing out, however, that instead we have

$$(\text{Pe}_{\text{r}})^{-1} = \frac{v_{\text{r}}}{U} \frac{U}{\lambda L} = \frac{v_{\text{r}}}{U} \frac{\text{Lyapunov time}}{\text{hydrodynamical time}}$$
(62)

which is rather a Lagrangian dynamics based quantity. The difference between  $Pe_r$  and its hydrodynamical analogue,  $v_r/U$ , might be pronounced if the hydrodynamical and Lyapunov times are not of the same order of magnitude.

The limit of slow reactions implies  $v_r \ll \lambda L$ , i.e.  $Pe_r \gg 1$ . It is in this limit where pronounced fractal product distributions are expected. The steady-state product area  $\mathcal{A}_B^*$  given by equation (9) is by a factor  $(Pe_r)^{D_0-1} \gg 1$  larger than it would be in a nonchaotic flow with  $D_0 = 1$ . Thus we find that a large value of the reaction Pèclet number introduced by equation (58) provides a general, necessary condition for the existence of filamental product distributions observable over several orders of magnitude in reactions taking place in chaotic flows.

# Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express special thanks to I Scheuring for very helpful input and discussions. ZT was supported by the Department of Energy, under contract W-7405-ENG-36. GK and TT acknowledge support of the Hungarian Science Foundation under grant numbers T032423, F029637. GK is also indebted to the Bolyai grant and to FKFP 0308/2000 for financial support. Additional support was provided by the MTA-OTKA/NSF-INT526 grant and the US-Hungarian Joint Grant (Project no 501).

# Appendix. Area dynamics in the active Baker map

In section 2 we described the hierarchy of the stripes formed in the active Baker map just after each reaction, calculated the total area occupied by the materials A and B as a function of the number of *active steps*, and proved that a steady state sets in after a given number of active steps,  $m^*$ . In this appendix we present the full temporal dynamics of the areas occupied by A and B (not only as a function of the active steps). In order to account for the 'intermediate' steps as well, we will extend a little bit the notation introduced in section 2. First, we have to observe that, once a reaction has been completed and new passive Baker steps are taken, the existing hierarchy at the end of the last reaction is doubled and mapped on a level one step lower in the hierarchy after a passive Baker step, while at the top of the hierarchy there are reaction-free gaps appearing. If  $\rho$  denotes the number of reaction-free Baker steps taken just after the last reaction, the top gap of width 1 - 2a appears at  $\rho = 1$ , the additional two gaps of width a(1-2a) appear at  $\rho = 2$ , etc, until at  $\rho = \tau$  the reaction-free tree becomes completed. All the rest of the gaps coming from earlier reactions are lying under this newly created 'Baker tree' of length  $\tau$ . Next, a reaction occurs and the whole process repeats itself. Let us denote the widths of the gaps coming from  $\rho$  Baker steps by  $w_{\ell}^{(0,\rho)}, \ell \in \{1, 2, \dots, \rho\}$ . We have  $w_{\ell}^{(0,\rho)} = a^{\ell-1}(1-2a)$  and the corresponding multiplicities are  $m_{\ell}^{(0,\rho)} = 2^{\ell-1}$ . We shall denote the widths and multiplicities of the gaps resulted from previous n reactions and the additional  $\rho$  passive Baker steps by  $w_k^{(j,\rho)}$ , and  $m_k^{(j,\rho)}$ , respectively, where  $k = 1, 2, ..., \tau$  and j = 1, 2, ..., n (the sub-trees coming from previous reactions are all completed). To connect with the notation from section 2, observe that  $w_k^{(j,0)} = w_k^{(j)}$ . We have  $w_k^{(j,\rho)} = a^{\rho} w_k^{(j)}$ , and the corresponding multiplicity of  $m_k^{(j,\rho)} = 2^{\rho} m_k^{(j)}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, ..., \tau$  and j = 1, 2, ..., n.



Figure 9. The evolution of the area of material *B* shown on a log-linear scale for three values of  $\tau$  and two values of *a*. In all cases  $\sigma = 10^{-5}$ .

When the (n + 1)st reaction occurs we obtain the widths  $w_k^{(j)}$ , where  $k = 1, 2, ..., \tau$ and j = 1, 2, ..., n + 1, with  $w_k^{(j+1)} = w_k^{(j,\tau)} - 2\sigma$ ,  $m_k^{(j+1)} = m_k^{(j,\tau)}$  (and it also leads to equation (20)). The area of material A(B) after n reactions and  $\rho$  reaction-free Baker steps will be denoted by  $\mathcal{A}_A^{(n,\rho)}(\mathcal{A}_B^{(n,\rho)})$ . By definition,  $\mathcal{A}_A^{(n,0)} \equiv \mathcal{A}_A^{(n)}(\mathcal{A}_B^{(n,0)} \equiv \mathcal{A}_B^{(n)})$ . The areas can be calculated as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}_{A}^{(n,\rho)} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\rho} m_{\ell}^{(0,\rho)} w_{\ell}^{(0,\rho)} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\tau} m_{k}^{(j,\rho)} w_{k}^{(j,\rho)} = 1 - (2a)^{\rho} + (2a)^{\rho} \mathcal{A}_{A}^{(n)}$$
(A.1)

where  $\mathcal{A}_A^{(n)}$  is given by (21). The above expression is valid in the regime where no gap fillings have occurred yet. In terms of the *B* material, using (13) and (A.1) is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{A}_{B}^{(n,\rho)} = (2a)^{\rho} \mathcal{A}_{B}^{(n)} = e^{-\kappa\rho} \mathcal{A}_{B}^{(n)}$$
(A.2)

just as expected, since between two reactions there is an exponentially emptying dynamics. The total time is  $t = n\tau + \rho$ ,  $\rho = 1, 2, ..., \tau$ . When  $\rho = \tau$ ,  $t = (n+1)\tau$  and a reaction occurs instantaneously.

Figure 9 shows the t dependence of the area covered by the material B for three  $\tau$  values, for given  $\sigma$  ( $\sigma = 10^{-5}$ ) on a log-linear scale at two a values, a = 0.45 and 0.32. Notice the fact that the actual time evolution of the areas follow closely the simple exponential emptying dynamics. Certainly, between two consecutive reactions, the emptying *is* exponential, the deviation from the exponential in the overall run of the curves occurs in jumps at reaction events. In order to observe a longer temporal evolution before stationarity sets in, one needs to choose a very small  $\sigma$ . On the other hand, this means that the overall fattening during a reaction is very weak, and it is in fact a 'perturbation' at early times. It becomes 'visible' just before the steady state.

### References

- [1] Metcalfe G and Ottino J M 1994 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72** 2875
  Metcalfe G and Ottino J M 1995 *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **6** 425
  Epstein I R 1995 *Nature* **374** 321
  Edouard S, Legras B, Lefevre B and Eymard R 1996 *Nature* **384** 444
  Edouard S, Legras B and Zeitlin V 1996 *J. Geophys. Res.* **101** (D11) 16771
- [2] Toroczkai Z, Károlyi G, Péntek Á, Tél T and Grebogi C 1998 Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 500
- [3] Károlyi G, Péntek Á, Toroczkai Z, Tél T and Grebogi C 1999 Phys. Rev. E 59 5468
- [4] Péntek Á, Károlyi G, Scheuring I, Tél T, Toroczkai Z, Kadtke J and Grebogi C 1999 Physica A 274 120
- [5] Neufeld Z, López C and Haynes P H 1999 Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 2606
- [6] Tél T, Károlyi G, Péntek Á, Scheuring I, Toroczkai Z, Grebogi C and Kadtke J 2000 Chaos 274 120
- [7] Neufeld Z, López C, Hernandez-Garcia E and Tél T 2000 Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 2606
- [8] Spall S and Richards K J 2000 Deep-Sea Res. 47 1261
- [9] Bracco A, Provenzale A and Scheuring I 2000 Proc. R. Soc. B 267 1795
- Kantz H and Grassberger P 1985 *Physica* D 17 75
   Hsu G H, Ott E and Grebogi C 1988 *Phys. Lett.* A 127 199
- [11] Tél T 1990 Transient chaos *Directions in Chaos* vol 3 ed Bai-lin Hao (Singapore: World Scientific) p 149
   Tél T 1996 Transient chaos: a type of metastable state *STATPHYS'19* ed Bai-Lin Hao (Singapore: World Scientific) p 346
- [12] Landau L D and Lifshitz E M 1987 Fluid mechanics (Course of Theoretical Physics vol 6) 2nd edn (Oxford: Pergamon)
- [13] Santoboni G, Nishikawa T, Toroczkai Z and Grebogi C 2000 Autocatalytic reactions of active particles with phase Preprint
- [14] Károlyi G, Péntek Á, Scheuring I, Tél T and Toroczkai Z 2000 Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 97 13661
- [15] López C, Neufeld Z, Hernández-García E and Haynes P H 2001 Phys. Chem. Earth. B 26 313
- [16] Liggett J A 1994 Fluid Mechanics (New York: McGraw-Hill)